

**Minnesota Pollution Control Agency Feedlot Program
2011 Annual County Feedlot Officer and Performance Credit Report
(Data for the Period January 1, 2011 - December 31, 2011)**

Name of County:	Mower				
Contact Person:	Angela M. Knish				
Phone No.:	507-437-9560				
E-mail Address:	angiek@co.mower.mn.us				
Signature:	<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; align-items: center;"> <div style="border-bottom: 1px solid black; width: 60%;"></div> <div style="border-bottom: 1px solid black; width: 30%;"></div> </div> <p style="text-align: center; font-size: small;">(Signature of County Board Commissioner) (Date)</p>				
All data must be entered in accordance with the Annual CFO Report Guidance Document.					
		No.	*PC	PC Total	
Registration	1 - Feedlots registered in shoreland with 10 - 299 AU:	10			
	2 - Feedlots registered outside shoreland with 50-299 AU:	236			
	3 - Non-NPDES sites ≥300 AU:	90			
	4 - Feedlots registered with NPDES permits:	25			
	5 - Total:	361			
	6 - Feedlots with 10 AU or more in shoreland:	15			
	7 - Feedlots with 10 AU or more that are both in shoreland and in a Drinking Water Supply Management Area (DWSMA):	0			
	8 - Feedlots with 50 AU or more that are in a DWSMA and are not in shoreland:	1			
	No. of Sites Inspected	9 - Feedlots inspected with 10 - 99 AU required to be registered:	0	0.75	0
		10 - Feedlots inspected with 100 - 299 AU:	27	1.25	33.75
11 - Non-NPDES sites ≥300 AU inspected:		0	1.5	0	
12 - NPDES sites inspected:		0	1	0	
13 - Total feedlots inspected required to be registered:		27			
14 - Feedlots inspected required to be registered that were not in compliance with water quality discharge standards:		1			
Land Application Inspections	15 - Feedlots that were inspected that were not required to be registered but were the result of a complaint or referral:	0	1	0	
	16 - Feedlots inspected required to be registered that were the result of a complaint:	1			
	17 - Non-NPDES sites ≥300 AU (or >100 AU located in a DWSMA) where a land application review was attempted, but no written records were available:	0			
	18 - Non-NPDES sites ≥300 AU (or > 100 AU located in a DWSMA) with land application records where a Level II review was conducted and the determination was compliance:	0	2	0	
	19 - Non-NPDES sites ≥300 AU (or > 100 AU located in a DWSMA) with land application records where a Level II review was conducted and the determination was non-compliance:	0	2	0	
	20 - Feedlots where a Level III land application inspection was conducted and the determination was compliance:	0	1	0	
Specialty Inspections	21 - Feedlots where a Level III land application inspection was conducted and the determination was non-compliance:	0	1	0	
	22 - Feedlots constructing (new or modifications) where 2 or more on-site producer contacts and/or inspections were done:	0	1	0	
	23 - Feedlots with OLAs where 2 or more on-site producer contacts and/or inspections were done:	0	1	0	
	24 - Feedlots with an interim permit where 2 or more on-site producer contacts and/or inspections were done:	1	1	1	
	25 - Feedlots inspected with 10 AU or more in shoreland:	1	0.25	0.25	
	26 - Feedlots inspected with 10 AU or more that are both in shoreland and in a DWSMA:	0	0.25	0	
	27- Feedlots inspected with 50 AU or more that are in a DWSMA and are not in shoreland:	0	0.25	0	
*PC = performance credits					

All data must be entered in accordance with the Annual CFO Report Guidance Document.				
Permitting	28 - No. of 30-day construction/expansion notifications received:	10		
	29 - No. of interim permits issued for feedlots with < 300 AU:	0	2	0
	30 - No. of interim permits issued for Non-NPDES sites ≥300 AU:	0	2	0
	31 - No. of short-form permits issued for Non-NPDES sites ≥300 AU:	0	1	0
	32 - No. of short form (≥300 AU) or interim permit revisions conducted:	0	1	0
	33 - No. of public meetings held for construction/expansion to >500 AU:	1	2	2
Emergency Response	34 - No. of pollution events where an emergency response was conducted:	2	2	4
Production Site Scheduled Compliance	35 - Feedlots <300 AU in shoreland where a partial environmental upgrade was achieved in the current year:	0	2	0
	36 - Feedlots <300 AU in shoreland where a complete environmental upgrade was achieved in the current year:	0	2	0
	37 - Feedlots <300 AU not in shoreland where a partial environmental upgrade was achieved in the current year:	0	2	0
	38 - Feedlots <300 AU not in shoreland where a complete environmental upgrade was achieved in the current year:	0	2	0
	39 - Non-NPDES feedlots ≥300 AU where a complete environmental upgrade was achieved in the current year:	1	2	2
Land Application Scheduled Compliance	40 - Non-NPDES sites ≥100 AU where Level 1 land application non-compliance was found and was returned to compliance:	0	1	0
	41 - Non-NPDES sites ≥300 AU (or > 100 AU located in a DWSMA) where Level II land application non-compliance was found and was returned to compliance:	0	2	0
	42 - Feedlots ≥100 AU where Level III land application non-compliance was found and was returned to compliance:	0	2	0
Owner Assistance Goals	43 - No. of workshops or trainings hosted and/or co-sponsored by the CFO (Maximum PC credits = 10; please describe on Supplemental Form):	6	2	12
	44 - No. of feedlot owners attending feedlot producer workshops, training events or information meetings:	197		
	45 - No. of mailings to feedlot owners: (Please describe newsletters/mailings on provided on Supplemental Form.)	750		
	46 - No. of feedlot articles placed in local newspapers: (Please list article title(s) on the Supplemental Form.)	0		
Staffing Level and Training	47 - No. of FTEs (Full Time Equivalents) supplied by the CFO:	0.5		
	48 - No. of FTEs of other county staff, including administrative and support, assigned by the county to the feedlot program:	0.5		
Please use whole numbers and decimals (such as 1 or .25 or 1.25) to record FTE values.	49 - No. of FTEs supplied through contract to other LGUs:	0		
	50 - Total No. of FTEs positions that supported county program:	1		
	51 - No. of CFO-training hours: (Please see guidance for determining eligible training hours and provide training specifics on Supplemental Form)	3	0.25	0.75
	52 - No. of new CFO in-office-mentoring units provided (List location & dates on Supplemental Form):	0	3	0
	53 - No. of new CFO inspection-mentoring events provided (List location & dates on Supplemental Form):	0	2	0
EAW	54 - No. of EAW (environmental assessment worksheets) petitions received:	0		0
	55 - No. of EAWs prepared by the county:	0	4	0
Air Quality Notifications	56 - No. of notifications received from feedlot owners claiming air quality exemptions:	13		
Enforcement Actions Taken	57 - No. of letters of warning issued:	0		
	58 - No. of notices of violation issued:	0		
	59 - No. of court actions commenced:	0		
Other Program Activities	60 - Feedlots where a FLEval/MinnFARM was conducted:	1	1	1
	61 - Meetings with other local government and producer groups: (Please provide meeting details on Supplemental Form.)	3		
	62 - Feedlot Ordinance Revisions: (Please describe ordinance revision and/or adoption proceedings on Supplemental Form.)	0		
Total Credits				56.75

Minnesota Pollution Control Agency
Feedlot Program

2011 Annual County Feedlot Officer Report
Supplemental Information Page
January 1, 2011 – December 31, 2011

County Name: Mower

Owner

Description and dates of information meetings provided to feedlot owners:

Assistance Goals

February 1 – Feedlot Producer Meeting – Austin Public Library – 28 attendees
February 1 – Feedlot Producer Meeting – Blooming Prairie City Hall -31 attendees
February 2 – Feedlot Producer Meeting – Zumbrota VFW – 44 attendees
March 3, 2011 – CAWT Rochester 39 attendees
March 18 – CAWT – Owatonna -54 attendees
Aug 24, Field Days – Rose Creek

Description and dates of newsletters/direct mailings sent to feedlot owners:
865 notices of the above listed producer for feedlot producer opportunities.

Description of feedlot articles placed in local newspapers: None

Other information and outreach activities not identified above:

Mower County requires an annual feedlot fee; this opportunity gives the feedlot operator to review their feedlot information or data annually and if there are any issues to contact us. Works well for properties that have recently exchanged hands and owners forgot to do the Change of Ownership.

Staffing Level and Training

Description and dates of training events attended:

I attended the annual Feedlot Training Sessions in Winona and the Delta Training in Owatonna.

Feedlot Enforcement Actions

Please describe any enforcement actions that you conducted other than letters of warning, notices of violation, and court actions. I inspected 20 feedlots this year with more than 100 animal units and less than 300 animal units. Very few of them were doing the feedlot manure testing. I did not issue them a NOV, but instead provided them with information on how to do a manure sample and provided them with labs where they could send a sample for analysis. In my letters I documented that the next time I completed an inspection; this information must be available for review.

Other Program Activities

Description and dates of meetings with local government services and producer groups. (Including SWCD and NRCS Offices, Minnesota Extension Service, Dairy Inspectors, Minnesota Pork Producers, Minnesota Dairy Association, Minnesota Cattleman's Association): Mower County participates with a group of other feedlot officers in Southern MN and in the six county area of Rice, Goodhue, Dodge, Steele, & Freeborn, we meet almost quarterly and plan for producer events and training opportunities. We are also meeting with some of our local CAWTs.

Use this space to describe any feedlot ordinance revision and/or adoption proceedings for this reporting period. None

Use this space to list any county feedlot program activities conducted during this reporting period not identified in this form. As stated for the past year, I continue to work on cleaning up and organizing the feedlot files in better shape than they were when I arrived here four years ago. We have weeded out over 150 feedlots that were on our roster that are no longer currently active or larger enough to require registration. As of 2012 – Mower County is working on a Foreign Animal Disease Plan and looking at proactive ways to approach such a situation should a break out occur. I participated in a 1-day class held at the Hormel House on February 1, 2012.

March 30, 2011